	Case 1:20-cv-01356-EPG Document 42	Filed 05/20/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		1
10	ROBERT WASHINGTON,	Case No. 1:20-cv-01356-EPG (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ODDED DEGLIDING DI AINTIEE TO EILE
12	v.	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF NON-OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S
13	STEVEN M. YAPLEE,	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	Robert Washington ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
17	pauperis in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
18	On March 4, 2022, Defendant filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 37).	
19	Plaintiff had thirty days to file his response. (ECF No. 28, p. 5). On March 30, 2022, Plaintiff	
20	filed a motion for an extension of time to file his response. (ECF No. 39). Plaintiff's motion was	
21	granted, and Plaintiff was given until May 6, 2022, to file his response. (ECF No. 40). As noted	
22	by Defendant (ECF No. 41), Plaintiff's deadline to file his response has passed and Plaintiff has	
23	not filed a response to the motion for summary judgment.	
24	While a motion for summary judgment cannot be granted by default, <u>Heinemann v.</u>	
25	Satterberg, 731 F.3d 914, 916 (9th Cir. 2013), the Court does have other options when a party	
26	fails to respond. For example, if Plaintiff fails to respond, the Court may treat the facts asserted	
27	by Defendant as "undisputed for purposes of the motion." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e)(2).	
28	Alternatively, if Plaintiff fails to oppose the motion or file a statement of non-opposition, the	
		=

Case 1:20-cv-01356-EPG Document 42 Filed 05/20/22 Page 2 of 2 Court may recommend that this case be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with a court order. However, the Court will not impose any sanctions or treat the facts asserted by Defendant as undisputed at this time. Instead, the Court will give Plaintiff one more opportunity to file a response. Accordingly, based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Within thirty days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Defendant's motion for summary judgment. 2. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order, in ruling on Defendant's motion for summary judgment the Court may treat the facts asserted by Defendant in his motion for summary judgment as undisputed. Alternatively, the Court may recommend that this case be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with a court order. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 20, 2022